

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X  
LYONS PARTNERSHIP, L.P. AND HIT  
ENTERTAINMENT,

Plaintiffs,

07 CV 3232 (SJ) (MDG)

-against-

**ORDER ADOPTING  
REPORT AND  
RECOMMENDATION**

D&L AMUSEMENT & ENTERTAINMENT,  
INC., CHRISTA TEDESCO, ALL IN ONE  
ENTERTAINMENT, INC., LINDA LIPPO,  
BOBBY'S WORLD PARTY CENTER, INC.,  
MICHELLE ESPOSITO, THERESA ABREU  
D/B/A SILLYBRATIONS, AND JULIE  
LOFSTAD D/B/A A CHARACTER CREATION,

Defendants.

-----X  
A P P E A R A N C E S

COWAN DeBAETS ABRAHAMS & SHEPPARD LLP  
41 Madison Avenue, 34<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10010  
By: Matthew Kaplan  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

JOHNSON, Senior District Judge:

Presently before the Court is a Report and Recommendation ("Report") prepared by Magistrate Judge Marilyn Go. Judge Go issued the Report on March 2, 2010, and provided the parties with the requisite amount of time to file any objections. Neither party filed any objections to the Report. For the reasons stated herein, this Court affirms and adopts the Report in its entirety.

A district court judge may designate a magistrate judge to hear and determine certain motions pending before the Court and to submit to the Court

proposed findings of fact and a recommendation as to the disposition of the motion. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within 10 days of service of the recommendation, any party may file written objections to the magistrate's report. See Id. Upon *de novo* review of those portions of the record to which objections were made, the district court judge may affirm or reject the recommendations. See Id. The Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the report and recommendation to which no objections are addressed. See Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections may waive the right to appeal this Court's Order. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Small v. Sec'y of Health and Human Servs., 892 F.2d 15, 16 (2d Cir. 1989).

In this case, objections to Magistrate Judge Go's recommendations were due on March 19, 2010. No objections to the Report were filed with this Court. Upon review of the recommendations, this Court adopts and affirms Magistrate Judge Go's Report in its entirety.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 25, 2010  
Brooklyn, NY

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
Senior United States District Judge